



# The Southern California Perspective on Offsite Emergency Planning

May 2, 2006

Mike Rose, Chairman  
SONGS Interjurisdictional  
Planning Committee

# Acronyms

- SONGS
  - San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
- IPC
  - Interjurisdictional Planning Committee

# IPC – Who We Are

- Primary Members
  - Orange and San Diego Counties
  - Cities of San Clemente, Dana Point, San Juan Capistrano
  - California State Parks, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton
  - Southern California Edison

# IPC – Who We Are

- Associate Members
  - American Red Cross
  - California Highway Patrol
  - Capistrano Unified School District
  - Mission Hospital
  - Orange County Fire Authority
  - Oceanside Fire Department

# IPC – Who We Are

- Associate Members (Con't)
  - California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
  - California Department of Health Services
  - Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) / U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
  - NRC

# IPC – Concept of Operations

- Mission
  - Promote nuclear power preparedness through agency coordination and integration of emergency plans

# IPC – Concept of Operations

- Objectives
  - Correct outstanding Areas Requiring Corrective Action resulting from exercises
  - Coordinate Planning Efforts
  - Purchase Equipment
  - Conduct Training
  - Participate in Exercises and Drills

# IPC – Concept of Operations

- Active Sub-Committees
  - Interjurisdictional Policies (IP's)
  - Emergency Alert System (EAS)
  - Evacuation
  - Private Schools & Childcare
  - Reception Center
  - Emergency News Center
  - Public Education
  - Offsite Dose Assessment



# IPC – At Work

- Why Does IPC Work?
  - CA is “Home Rule” state
  - IPC allows for 7 independent entities, Offsite Response Organizations (ORO), to plan, coordinate, and respond in a unified fashion for the protection of the public’s health and safety
  - Results in coordinated response and decision making

# IPC – Recent History

- Consolidated Reception Centers
- 2005 Biennial Exercise
- 2005 “Coordinated Law Enforcement Plan” put in place
- 2005 Comprehensive Review

# Current Initiatives

- Update of Evacuation Time Estimate
- Re-evaluation of PARs
  - Break EPZ into “sub-areas”
  - Apply more effective decision-making

# Challenges

- Multiple Decision Makers
- Evacuation vs. Shelter-in-place
- EAS messages and effective public communication